```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
 1
    United States Attorney
 2
    ROBERT E. DUGDALE
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    ANTOINE F. RAPHAEL (Cal. SBN. 214919)
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Riverside Branch Office
    COREY G. LEE (Cal. SBN. 227602)
 5
    Assistant United States Attorney
         3880 Lemon Street, Suite 210
 6
         Riverside, California 92501
 7
         Telephone:
                      (951) 276-6246/6098
                      (951) 276-6202
         Facsimile:
 8
         E-mail:
                   antoine.raphael@usdoj.gov
                    corey.lee@usdoj.gov
 9
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                      ) ED CR 10-9(A)-VAP
14
                    Plaintiff,
                                        PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT
15
                                        SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDEZ,
                                        <u>JR</u>.
                   v.
16
     SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDEZ, JR.
17
18
                   Defendants.
19
20
             This constitutes the plea agreement between Salvador
21
    Orozco Hernandez, Jr. ("defendant") and the United States
22
```

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between Salvador Orozco Hernandez, Jr. ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("the USAO") in the above-captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

23

24

25

26

27

#### DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

2. Defendant agrees to:

- a) At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to counts one and six of the first superseding indictment ("indictment") in United States v. Salvador Orozco Hernandez, Jr., ED CR 10-9(A)-VAP.
  - b) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing factors contained in this agreement.
- d) Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e) Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States

  Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines")

  § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f) Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g) Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and submits a completed financial statement (form OBD-500) to the USAO prior to sentencing.

#### THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 3. The USAO agrees to:
  - a) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
    - b) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing factors

contained in this agreement.

.23

- c) At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss count one of the information filed against defendant on May 19, 2010 pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 851. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the dismissed count of the information in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).
- d) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- e) Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, provided that the offense level used by the Court to determine that range is 32 or higher and provided that the Court does not depart downward in offense level or criminal history category. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, part A.
- f) At the time of sentencing, not oppose an argument by defendant, to the extent applicable, under U.S.S.G. § 5G1.3(c), for a sentence concurrent or partially concurrent to the term of

imprisonment imposed by the Superior Court of San Bernardino County in case number FSB059489.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

- Defendant understands that for defendant to be quilty of racketeering conspiracy, as charged in count one (violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(d)), the following must be true: (1) an enterprise existed as charged in count one; (2) the enterprise engaged in, or its activities affected, interstate or foreign commerce; (3) the defendant was associated with the enterprise; (4) prior to January 27, 2010 two or more persons reached an agreement or came to an understanding to conduct or participate in the affairs of the enterprise, directly or indirectly, through a pattern of racketeering activity; and (5) the defendant voluntarily and intentionally joined in the agreement or understanding, either at the time it was first reached or at some later time while it was still in existence, and at the time the defendant joined in the agreement or understanding the defendant specifically intended that someone, not necessarily the defendant, would commit two or more racketeering acts as part of a pattern of racketeering activity.
- a. An "enterprise" includes any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact although not a legal entity.
- b. "Racketeering activity" includes, among other acts: (1) any act or threat involving murder, kidnaping, robbery, extortion, or dealing in a controlled substance, which is chargeable under state law and punishable by imprisonment for

more than one year; (2) any act of witness intimidation in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512; and (3) the felonious manufacturing, importing, receiving, concealing, buying, selling or otherwise dealing in a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act).

.28

c. A "pattern of racketeering activity" is at least two acts of racketeering activity within ten years of each other that have a relationship to each other plus a threat of continuity. Conduct forms a pattern if it consists of criminal acts that have the same or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, or otherwise are interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated.

Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in count one of the first superseding indictment.

5. In order for defendant to be guilty of conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute at least fifty grams of actual methamphetamine, as charged in count six of the first superseding indictment, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, the following must be true: (1) beginning on a date unknown and continuing to on or about January 27, 2010, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit the crime of distribution or possession with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of actual methamphetamine, as charged in the first superseding indictment; and (2) defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.

Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in count six of the first superseding indictment.

6. Moreover, in order for defendant to be subject to the statutory maximum and statutory minimum sentences set forth below, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt with respect to count six that defendant conspired to distribute or possess with intent to distribute at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine.

Defendant admits that defendant, in fact, conspired to distribute and possess with intent to distribute at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine, as described in count six of the first superseding indictment.

#### **PENALTIES**

- 7. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(d), where the underlying racketeering activity itself carries a maximum term of life imprisonment is: life imprisonment; a five-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 8. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, involving at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine is: life imprisonment; a life-time period of supervised release; a fine of \$4,000,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a

mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 9. Therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: life imprisonment; a lifetime period of supervised release; a fine of \$4,250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.
- 10. The statutory mandatory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 21, United States Code,
  Section 846 involving at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine is: 10-years' imprisonment and a five-year period of supervised release. The statutory mandatory minimum sentence that the court must impose for a violation of Title 21, United States Code,
  Section 846 involving at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine committed after a prior conviction for a felony drug offense has become final, as alleged in the information filed pursuant to
  Title 21, United States Code, Section 851, on May 19, 2010, is: 20-years' imprisonment, a ten-year period of supervised release, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 11. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release.
  - 12. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty,

defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty plea, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

- 13. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that by entering a guilty plea defendant waives any claim that unexpected immigration consequences may render defendant's guilty pleas invalid.
- 14. Defendant understands that under 21 U.S.C. § 862a, defendant will not be eligible for assistance under state programs funded under the Social Security Act or Federal Food Stamp Act or for federal food stamp program benefits, and that

any such benefits or assistance received by defendant's family members will be reduced to reflect defendant's ineligibility.

#### FACTUAL BASIS

15. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the "Statement of Facts" attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and incorporated herein. This statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the sentencing guideline factors set forth below. It is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

#### SENTENCING FACTORS

- 16. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1)-(7), including the kinds of sentence and sentencing range established under the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.
- 17. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

# COUNT ONE (18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(d)) (50 grams of actual methamphetamine)

Base Offense Level : 32 U.S.S.G. § 2E1.1(a)(2)/ U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c)(4)

## COUNT SIX (21 U.S.C. § 846) (50 grams of actual methamphetamine)

Base Offense Level : 32 U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c)(4)

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate. Defendant understands that defendant's offense level could be increased if defendant is a career offender under U.S.S.G. §§ 4B1.1 and 4B1.2. If defendant's offense level is so altered, defendant and the USAO will not be bound by the agreement to Sentencing Guideline factors set forth above.

- 18. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 19. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. S 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

#### WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 20. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
  - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
  - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to the assistance of an attorney at trial, including the right to have the Court appoint an attorney to represent defendant at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, despite defendant's guilty pleas, defendant retains the right to be represented by an attorney -- and, if necessary, to

have the Court appoint an attorney if defendant cannot afford one -- at every other stage of the proceeding.

- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including calling witnesses and subpoening those witnesses to testify.
- g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h) Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

#### WAIVER OF DNA TESTING

21. Defendant has been advised that the government has in its possession the following items of physical evidence that could be subjected to DNA testing: letters. Defendant understands that the government does not intend to conduct DNA testing of any of these items for DNA testing and does not intend to conduct any further DNA testing of those items or any other items. Defendant understands: (a) before entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant could request DNA testing of evidence in this case; and (b) with respect to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty pursuant to this agreement, defendant would have the right to request DNA testing of evidence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

after conviction under the conditions specified in 18 U.S.C. § Knowing and understanding defendant's right to request DNA testing, defendant voluntarily gives up that right with respect to both the specific items listed above and any other items of evidence there may be in this case that might be subject to DNA testing. Defendant understands that by giving up this right: (a) defendant is giving up any ability to request DNA testing of evidence in this case in the current proceeding, in any proceeding after conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 3600, and in any other proceeding of any type; and (b) defendant will never have another opportunity to have the evidence in this case, whether or not listed above, submitted for DNA testing, and will never have an opportunity to employ the results of DNA testing to support a claim that defendant is innocent of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

#### WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

22. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

#### LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

23. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment within or below the range corresponding to an offense level of 38 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the

sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (e) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the standard conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C.

24. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or above the statutory minimum and at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than 120 months, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

#### RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

25. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement.

## EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

26. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

#### BREACH OF AGREEMENT

27. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

#### COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 28. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- 29. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations are not error, although each party agrees

to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 17 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

30. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one — not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court — can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

#### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

31. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

27 ///

28 ///

## PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 1 2 The parties agree that this agreement will be 3 considered part of the record of defendant's quilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the 4 5 proceeding. AGREED AND ACCEPTED 6 7 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. 9 United States Attorney 10 11 ANTOINE F/RAPHAEL 12 COREY G. LEE Assistant United States Attorneys 13 //-28-// Date 14 15 SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDE Defendant 16 17 18 W. ANTHONY WILLOUGHBY JASON JAMES BUCCAT Attorneys for Defendant 19 SALVADOR ØROZCO HERNANDEZ, JR. 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

#### CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDEZ, JA Defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

//· 28 ·//

### CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDEZ, JR.'s attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

W. ANTHONY WILLOUGHBY

JASON JAMES BUCCAT

Attorneys for Defendant

SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDEZ, JR.

11/2x/11
Date

## EXHIBIT A

10.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

Unless otherwise noted, all factual statements contained in this "Statement of Facts" apply to the time periods detailed in the indictment in this case and to all counts defendant is pleading guilty to.

The Mexican Mafia, also known as "La Eme" or "Eme," is the most powerful Hispanic gang within the California prison system and exerts control over the activities of Hispanic street gangs in Southern California conducted outside the prison system through its ability to order the assault or murder of members of those street gangs who are incarcerated. Members of the Mexican Mafia come from the ranks of local Hispanic street gangs.

The Eastside Riva Gang ("ESR") is a street gang that operates in the City of Riverside. ESR is an organization engaged in, among other things, conspiracy to distribute controlled substances, distribution of controlled substances, murder, attempted murder, conspiracy to commit murder, and robbery.

Defendant SALVADOR OROZCO HERNANDEZ, JR., also known as ("aka") "Toro" ("defendant") was a member of the Mexican Mafia.

The Mexican Mafia and ESR are criminal street gangs that consist of a group of individuals associated in fact. Defendant agrees that the Mexican Mafia and ESR are an "enterprise" within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1961, et seq. Defendant further agrees that the activities of the Mexican Mafia and ESR affect interstate and foreign commerce.

Members and associates of the ESR pay a tribute, referred to as "taxes" or "rent," to members and associates of the Mexican Mafia in order to maintain control over ESR's territory, and in order to assure protection for ESR members once they enter California state or federal penal institutions. Mexican Mafia exerts control over Southern California Hispanic street gangs by placing a "green light" on any Southern California Hispanic street gang that fails to pay drug "taxes" to the Mexican Mafia or otherwise follow the Mexican Mafia's instructions. Once a green light is placed on a particular gang, all other Southern California Hispanic street gangs are authorized to assault and murder members of the gang receiving the green light. By paying drug taxes to and otherwise following the dictates of the Mexican Mafia, Southern California Hispanic street gangs such as ESR avoid receiving a green light, which ensures the Eme's protection of ESR members who are incarcerated.

Mexican Mafia members, called "carnales" or "brothers," typically divide the territory of Southern California Hispanic street gangs between them. At any given time, one or more members of the Mexican Mafia has control over ESR's territory and is empowered to receive the drug "taxes" from ESR and to issue orders and instructions to ESR. A Mexican Mafia member with authority over ESR's territory typically enlists the assistance of an ESR member and authorizes that ESR member to act in the name of the Mexican Mafia member. Such authorization by the Mexican Mafia member is known as "giving the keys" to the ESR member. An ESR member who has received the "keys" from a Mexican Mafia member is empowered to collect drug "taxes" for that

Mexican Mafia member from ESR members and drug traffickers selling drugs in ESR territory, resolve disputes among ESR members, issue orders to ESR members, and order assaults and murders.

ESR is both loyal to and subservient to the Mexican Mafia. ESR members, oftentimes in prison where they have access to contact with incarcerated members of the Mexican Mafia, directed ESR members not in prison to comply with Eme directives at the risk of retribution.

As the Mexican Mafia member in control of ESR, defendant issued directives to senior ESR members and appointed "tax collectors" to collect money derived from ESR's criminal activities, including drug distribution in ESR territory.

During the time period mentioned in the indictment, within the Central District of California, defendant knowingly and intentionally agreed to conduct, or participate in the conduct of, the affairs of the Mexican Mafia and ESR by facilitating the felonious distribution of controlled substances, namely at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine, in violation of both California law and Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1),(b)(1)(A) and 846 and by collecting the proceeds (or taxes) from the sale of at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine. Defendant further agreed that other members and associates of the Mexican Mafia and ESR would commit at least two racketeering acts within ten years of each other, including the distribution of methamphetamine, in the conduct of the affairs of the Mexican Mafia and ESR.

Some of the overt acts defendant committed in furtherance of the conspiracies alleged in the counts defendant is pleading quilty to include:

- a. On or about April 3, 2009, using coded language in a letter to a co-conspirator, defendant told that co-conspirator that a co-defendant was working on behalf of defendant and that those gang members loyal to that co-conspirator should leave the co-defendant alone.
- b. On or about April 7, 2009, using coded language in a letter to a co-defendant, defendant stated that he (HERNANDEZ) is glad that the co-defendant is in the community providing defendant's associate with the proceeds from drug sales, that he (defendant HERNANDEZ) is aware that the co-defendant had problems with other gang members who questioned the co-defendant's Eme association, and that he (defendant HERNANDEZ) wrote a letter to the individual who was giving the co-defendant problems expressing his (defendant HERNANDEZ's) displeasure with that.

## Additional Conduct Pertaining to the Methamphetamine Trafficking Conspiracy

Beginning on an unknown date and continuing until at least January 27, 2010, within the Central District of California, defendant agreed with other co-conspirators, to distribute and possess with intent to distribute at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine. At all times relevant to the indictment, it was foreseeable to defendant that at least 50 grams of actual methamphetamine was being distributed by other co-conspirators.